

# **KINGS LAW REPORTS**

## **(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)**

**(2016) KLR VOL 1 PART 377 pp. 233 - 502**

**JANUARY 2016**

**Dedicated to the King of kings**

**O. O. NOEL ESQ. Founder/Publisher**

**C. L. Onwuegbuna Esq. Chief Editor**

## **INDEX OF CASES REPORTED**

### ***JANUARY CONTD.***

15. Alafia v. Gbode Ventures Nigeria Limited p. 243
16. Allanah v. Kpolokwu p. 279
17. Barbedos Ventures Limited v. First Bank of Nigeria Plc. p. 321
18. Isa v. Kano State p. 329
19. Lewis v. United Bank for Africa Plc. p. 359
20. Mabamije v. Otto p. 379
21. Nigerian Agricultural Co-operative Bank Limited v. Ozoemelum p. 407
22. Nsirim v. Amadi p. 427
23. Ochala v. Federal Republic of Nigeria p. 445
24. Oni v. Cadbury Nig. Plc. p. 461
25. Onwuzuruike v. Edoziem p. 481

**234 INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2016) 1 KLR**

ACTIONS - Commencement - Validity - No matter how malicious an action may be - As long as it is lawful and constitutional - It cannot be held to be an abuse of court process (H7) *Alafia v. Gbode Ventures Nig. Ltd.* p. 243

ACTIONS - Court - Jurisdiction - Once a matter is not properly constituted - The court lacks the jurisdiction to entertain it (H1) *Alafia v. Gbode Ventures Nig. Ltd.* p. 243

ACTIONS - Multiplicity of suit - Abuse of process - Appellant's act of filing present suit on the same relief as in a previous suit - Amounts to an abuse of process (H4) *Mabamije v. Otto* p. 379

ACTIONS - Notice of discontinuance - Estoppel - Appellant by filing the notice has waived her rights to claim same relief on same facts in present suit - She is thus estopped from relitigating (H5) *Mabamije v. Otto* p. 379

AFFIDAVITS - Averments - Validity - Where facts deposed to in affidavit have not been controverted - Such facts would be deemed unchallenged and undisputed (H2) *Mabamije v. Otto* p. 379

AFFIDAVITS - Conflicts - Resolution - Where there are conflicts in affidavit on material issue - Court is expected to invite parties and call for oral evidence - To resolve the conflict (H3) *Mabamije v. Otto* p. 379

APPEALS - Court of Appeal - Issues - Determination of - CA whose decision on issue of jurisdiction may be faulted by SC - Should not be debarred from pronouncing on other issues raised in appeal (H4) *Alafia v. Gbode Ventures Nig. Ltd.* p. 243

APPEALS - Court processes - Abuse of - Common feature of abuse of process that centres on improper use of process by party - To interfere with justice - Is not applicable to facts of present appeal (H2) *Allanah v. Kpolokwu* p. 279

APPEALS - Filing - Extension of time - Where there is failure to file

appeal within period stipulated by the Rules - And extension of time was not obtained - Appellate Court has no jurisdiction over the appeal (H7) *Allanah v. Kpolokwu* p. 279

APPEALS - Filing - Stay of execution - Application for stay of execution pending determination of appeal - Presupposes that appeal had been filed - Or simultaneously with the application (H2) *Nig. Agric. Co. Bank Ltd. v. Ozoemelum* p. 407

APPEALS - Finding - The finding of Court of Appeal rejecting exhibit D on the basis of wrongful admission - Cannot be faulted having regard to the record (H3) *Onwuzuruike v. Edoziem* p. 481

APPEALS - Ground - Mixed law & facts - Leave - Failure of appellants to seek leave to file the ground renders it incompetent - And being the only ground - The appeal is also rendered incompetent (H6) *Allanah v. Kpolokwu* p. 279

APPEALS - Grounds - Determination - Principle - Court must give regard to the particulars and consider them together - So as to ascertain the category to which they fit into (H5) *Allanah v. Kpolokwu* p. 279

APPEALS - Grounds - Issues - Appellants' argument that failure to expressly tie issues to grounds makes the issue incompetent cannot hold - As it is enough if the issues can be linked (H6) *Alafia v. Gbode Ventures Nig. Ltd.* p. 243

APPEALS - Interlocutory appeal - Filing time - SC Rules O. 7 rr. 6 & 7 - Appellants are to file their notice within 14 days - And as there is no evidence of their compliance - Objection on the point is sustained (H3) *Allanah v. Kpolokwu* p. 279

APPEALS - Issue - Formulation - Issue for determination must arise from grounds of appeal - But when it is not based on grounds of appeal filed - The issue is held to be irrelevant (H3) *Nsirim v. Amadi* p. 427

**236 INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2016) 1 KLR**

APPEALS - Issue - Reply brief - Issue raised by appellant in reply brief - Which respondent did not bother to respond to in court - Is not an issue raised suo motu (H8) Mabamije v. Otto p. 379

APPEALS - Issues - Accelerated hearing - The issues being weighty must be settled in good time - As court does not encourage delays in proceedings between parties (H1) Barbedos Ventures Ltd. v. First Bank of Nig. Plc. p. 321

APPEALS - Issues - Resolution of the question of the Head of family against 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent - Has no adverse effect on respondents' appeal at the Court of Appeal (H10) Alafia v. Gbode Ventures Nig. Ltd. p. 243

APPEALS - Jurisdiction - Stay of execution - Where there is appeal from CA to SC - Both courts have concurrent jurisdiction in an application for stay of execution - Pending determination of the appeal (H1) Nig. Agric. Co. Bank Ltd. v. Ozoemelam p. 407

APPEALS - Notice of - Validity - As the appeal is interlocutory - Respondent had 15 days after receipt of notice of appeal to serve respondent's notice - Otherwise it will be held incompetent (H6) Mabamije v. Otto p. 379

APPEALS - Preliminary objection - Incorporated in brief - Validity - Failure to file formal notice of objection - Will not render the objection incompetent - As it may be included in respondent's brief (H1) Allanah v. Kpolokwu p. 279

APPEALS - Respondent - Role of - Duty of respondent is to defend the judgment of the court below - Except where he disagrees with some aspects of it - In which case he is to file cross appeal (H1) Nsirim v. Amadi p. 427

APPEALS - Respondent's notice - Filing - Where respondent is of the view that appellate court should vary decision of lower court - He has to file a respondent's notice to that effect (H2) Nsirim v. Amadi p. 427

APPEALS - Right - Death of 3<sup>rd</sup> respondent did not extinguish rights of 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> respondents - To maintain and prosecute their appeals (H5) *Alafia v. Gbode Ventures Nig. Ltd.* p. 243

APPEALS - Rights - Appellants cannot be said to have abandoned the suit by failing to file statement of claim - For they rightly pursued their appeal to SC since lower courts ruled against their motion (H4) *Allanah v. Kpolokwu* p. 279

BANKING - Employee - Loan agreement - Repayment of - Terms for repayment stated in Exhibit B still remain - Hence appellant cannot unilaterally opt out of the contract - And cannot be relieved of the obligation to repay the loan (H1) *Lewis v. United Bank for Africa Plc.* p. 359

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - Constitution - Supremacy of - By virtue of Constitution 1999 s. 1(3) - All other laws must not only be consistent with Constitution - But derive their potency from it (H1) *Ochala v. FRN* p. 445

CONTEMPT OF COURT - Committal order - Even if it is found that 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent was served with Form 48 - The finding would still not have cured the defect in issuance of Form 48 (H11) *Alafia v. Gbode Ventures Nig. Ltd.* p. 243

CONTEMPT OF COURT - Committal order - Until committal order made by trial court is challenged and set aside by Court of Appeal - 2<sup>nd</sup> respondent will remain a convict (H8) *Alafia v. Gbode Ventures Nig. Ltd.* p. 243

CONTRACTS - Terms - Binding nature of - Parties are bound by the contract they entered into - And the terms and conditions must be respected by court (H2) *Lewis v. United Bank for Africa Plc.* p. 359

COURTS - Issue - Suo motu raising - Court would not suo motu raise issue - Without giving both sides opportunity to address it on the issue - Otherwise it will amount to denial of fair hearing (H7)

Mabamije v. Otto p. 379

COURTS - Summary judgment - Validity of - Court of Appeal rightly agreed that the summary judgment was proper - Since trial Judge found that appellant's affidavits were bereft of material evidence (H3) Lewis v. United Bank for Africa Plc. p. 359

DOCUMENTS - Proof - Documents must be proved by primary evidence - But secondary evidence may be given - Where inter alia the original is in possession of person against whom the document is to be proved (H1) Onwuzuruike v. Edoziem p. 481

DOCUMENTS - Public document - Admissibility - CA rightly adjudged exhibit C to be a public document - And that there ought to have been a certification - That it was true copy of the original (H2) Onwuzuruike v. Edoziem p. 481

DRUGS - Narcotic drugs - Indian hemp - Okewu v. FRN - Drugs stated in NDLEA Act s. 10(h) otherwise known as Indian hemp - Are substances known to alter user's perception - They are also narcotic drugs (H3) Ochala v. FRN p. 445

EVIDENCE - Confession - Validity - Confession if made voluntarily is deemed to be relevant fact - As against the person who made it (H3) Isa v. Kano State p. 329

JUDGMENTS - Consequential order - Where it is found that an action is improperly constituted - Striking out the action will be appropriate - Despite existence of other issues against the judgment (H3) Alafia v. Gbode Ventures Nig. Ltd. p. 243

JUDGMENTS - Issue - Conclusiveness - Evidence Act s. 60 - Every judgment is conclusive proof of facts directly in issue - And decided by the court against the parties and privies (H2) Alafia v. Gbode Ventures Nig. Ltd. p. 243

JURISDICTION - Absence of - Effect - The trial court and Court of Appeal acted in vain - As appellant's complaint governed by CAMA

ss. 262 & 266 - Should have been brought at Federal High Court (H2) Oni v. Cadbury Nig. Plc. p. 461

JURISDICTION - Consent by parties - Submitting to jurisdiction is no answer to want of jurisdiction of a court - For a total lack of jurisdiction - Cannot be cured by assent of parties (H3) Oni v. Cadbury Nig. Plc. p. 461

JURISDICTION - Issue - Time to raise - Issue of jurisdiction can be raised at any time - Even in the Supreme Court for the first time (H1) Oni v. Cadbury Nig. Plc. p. 461

JURISDICTION - Issue of - Although jurisdiction can be raised at any stage - But it does not mean that it is raised without regard to rules of court - Designed to aid parties to obtain justice in court (H4) Nsirim v. Amadi p. 427

JURISDICTION - Narcotic drugs - Federal High Court and not Magistrate Court is vested with jurisdiction - To entertain the charge bordering on Indian Hemp against appellant (H2) Ochala v. FRN p. 445

ORDERS OF COURT - Stay of execution - Application - In the absence of an appeal against the order of trial court - CA could not have entertained application for stay of execution pending appeal (H3) Nig. Agric. Co. Bank Ltd. v. Ozoemelam p. 407

PLEADINGS - Points of law - HC Rules of Bendel State O. 24 rr. 2 & 3 - Allows both sides to raise points of law in their pleadings - And there is no restriction as which of the pleadings to be relied on (H1) Mabamije v. Otto p. 379

PROPERTY LAW - Registrable instrument - Admissibility - A registrable instrument that has not been registered - Is admissible in proof of such equitable interest - And proof of payment of money (H9) Alafia v. Gbode Ventures Nig. Ltd. p. 243

RAPE - Ingredients - Proof - To secure conviction prosecution must

prove that accused had sex with prosecutrix - That the act of sex was without consent of prosecutrix - And that there was penetration (H1)  
Isa v. Kano State p. 329

RAPE - Proof - Corroboration - Oath - PW1 being a minor needed no corroboration of her evidence - Which was sworn on oath (H2)  
Isa v. Kano State p. 329

RAPE - Proof - Interjection by court - Answer to the question posed by trial court to PW1 after cross examination - Did not occasion miscarriage of justice - And added no value to prosecution's case (H4)  
Isa v. Kano State p. 329

## **INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES**

Companies & Allied Matters Act, ss. 236, 262, 266 Oni v. Cadbury Nig. Plc. p. 461

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria 1999, s. 211 Isa v. Kano State p. 329, s. 233(1)(2) Allanah v. Kpolokwu p. 279, s. 251(1) Oni v. Cadbury Nig. Plc. p. 461, ss. 251(1)(3), 315 Ochala v. FRN p. 445

Court of Appeal Act, s. 18 Nig. Agric. Co. Bank Ltd. v. Ozoemelam p. 407

Court of Appeal Rules 2002, O. 3 r. 14(1)(2) Nsirim v. Amadi p. 427

Criminal Procedure Code Cap 37 Laws of Kano State, s. 7 Isa v. Kano State p. 329

Evidence Act 2004, ss. 94(1), 97(2)(c) Onwuzuruike v. Edoziem p. 481



Evidence Act 2011, s. 169 Mabamije v. Otto p. 379, s. 74(1) Ochala v. FRN p. 445, ss. 102, 123 Onwuzuruike v. Edoziem p. 481, s. 178(5) Isa v. Kano State p. 329, s. 54 Alafia v. Gbode Ventures Nig. Ltd. p. 243, ss. 131(1), 132(1) Lewis v. United Bank for Africa Plc. p. 359

Federal High Court Act Cap F12 LFN 2004, s. 7(1) Ochala v. FRN p.

High Court (Civil Procedure) Rules of Bendel State 1988, O. 24 r. 2, 3 Mabamije v. Otto p. 379

High Court of Lagos State (Civil Procedure) Rules 1994, O. 11 rr. 1, 2 Lewis v. United Bank for Africa Plc. p. 359

High Court Rules of Imo State 1988, O. 25 r. 13 Onwuzuruike v. Edoziem p. 481

Kaduna State High Court Rules, O. 45 r. 2(2) Nig. Agric. Co. Bank Ltd. v. Ozoemelam p. 407

NDLEA Act Vol. 9 Cap 30, LFN 2004, ss. 10(h), 11(c), 26(1) Ochala v. FRN p. 445

Penal Code, s. 283 Isa v. Kano State p. 329

Supreme Court Act Cap S15 LFN 2004, s. 27(1)(2) Allanah v. Kpolokwu p. 279, s. 24 Nig. Agric. Co. Bank Ltd. v. Ozoemelam p. 407

Supreme Court Rules 2004, O. 2 r. 9(1), O. 7 r. 4(1) Allanah v. Kpolokwu p. 279

Tribunals Certain Consequential Amendment Decree 62 of 1999, s. 2(3) Ochala v. FRN p. 461